Missk.PM
2-20-94

Cassell

p. 525-526 "Journalists will all too often slant their reports in the direction of discovering "news" by finding that an innocent person has been wrongfully convicted."

p. 526 "Instead of suppressing confessions on reliability grounds, we should depend on juries to decide their truth or falsity, just as we ultimately rely on juries to determine the guilt or innocence of criminal suspects."

p. 526-527 "... there is good reason to believe that the Supreme Court's decision in Miranda has exacerbated the risks to the innocent. The Miranda decision has reduced the number of truthful confessions ... by directing the focus of Courts away from the substantive truth of confessions to procedural issues about how they were obtained."

p. 530 [LEO + OFSHE] Claim no such problem exists, avoiding any need to weigh the competing risks * How can you compare Miranda to false confessions — letting the weasel guard the hen house.

p. 531 If Miranda impeds police success in interrogation, it is a logical corollary that it will also impede one of the "leading sources" of exonerations."

* This assumes that the confessions are truthful!!!

* Having two confessions to the same crime is not a way to prevent false confessions Just look at the Temple murder case, when prosecutor refused to drop the case!

* Just because empirical data is inconclusive regard the frequency of false confessions it is a problem nonetheless. 26/ A problem that won't be solved by bastardizing Miranda.

p. 535 - Cassell promotes scrapping Miranda for a system of videotaping all interrogations. This is like "faith healing" Miranda [illegible] us, but especially the mentally [illegible]