23

24

25

And they've been here and you've had a chance families. to look at that and I don't think it's inappropriate to say when you determine punishment, think about what the effect has been, not only in the taking those three lives, but what impact it's had on the mothers, the fathers, and the other family members of those three When you think about those things and you look at the evidence, I think it will be a clear and easy decision that the maximum punishment -- although it's something that's a heavy responsibility to deal out particularly to somebody who's eighteen years old -- I think it's clearly appropriate and it's something that you can feel that you've done something right in regard to having the courage to give out that type of punishment for this type of crime, but if people can see what the punishment is in this case and say that that fits what this defendant did. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Are you all going to split or are you going to do it all?

MR. CROW: Do it all, your Honor.

THE COURT: Alright.

MR. CROW: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, your Honor, Mr. Fogleman, Mr. Davis. I want to thank you all, too, for your patience, and your willingness to serve on the jury. It's been a hard three weeks for all

of us. I thank you all very much for your willingness to serve, to pay attention, and to be here without y'all, this trial would not have been possible. Obviously from your findings you have found that Jessie was at the scene.

When you go back to that jury room I'll ask you to consider a few things. First, please consider what Jessie said in his statement. Please consider what he said he did and what he said he didn't do. Please consider all of the circumstances.

I'll also ask you to consider the age of Jessie. The prosecutor talks about him being eighteen. He's eighteen. He was seventeen when the crimes were committed and now he's eighteen. I'll also ask you to consider not only his physical age, but his mental ability. You've heard testimony that Jessie reasons on the level of a six to eight year old. He certainly can function in society to some extent but he does have certainly some type of mental deficiency. Now, his chronological age doesn't always tell the whole story. think each of you know that.

I'll also ask you to consider his family background.

He had mental problems -- you've heard bits and pieces of his background. You heard some testimony about his mother and father. He was raised by his father and

stepmother and the other family history. I ask you to please consider those things.

Most importantly though, ladies and gentlemen, I want you to consider what Little Jessie said. I take it you believe his statement. I would ask you to (INAUDIBLE) consider what he himself contends. That he himself contends (INAUDIBLE).

As Mr. Davis pointed out, there is a range of punishment. The State of Arkansas has decided that when a person has committed the crime that you have found that Jessie committed he must be punished for murder in the first degree — the range of punishment is ten to forty or life. I'll please ask you to consider all of them.

I'm not going to stand here and say it should be one or it should be another. I would ask you to please consider all of them.

Murder in the second degree, ladies and gentlemen, the range of punishment is five to twenty. I would ask you to consider the full range of punishment. When you are considering those things again, think back to the statement. Think about what Jessie did and what he didn't do. To go in and not pay attention to those details, (INAUDIBLE). It's a difficult situation for us all and a hard duty. Please review the tape and consider what did and didn't happen. Consider what Jessie did and

23

24

25

what he didn't do. I will trust you to come back with the proper verdict. Thank you.

MR. FOGLEMAN: Was there a circumstantial evidence instruction?

THE COURT: No. There should have been but I don't remember giving it.

MR. FOGLEMAN: Ladies and gentlemen---

THE COURT: Approach the bench.

(THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION WAS HELD AT THE BENCH OUT OF THE HEARING OF THE JURY.)

THE COURT: I didn't give it, but I don't know whether it would be inappropriate at this time. Is it circumstantial evidence? I probably should have given it but nobody requested it.

MR. DAVIS: We would request it if it was not given.

THE COURT: Did I give it? Do you remember?

MR. DAVIS: No, you did not, your Honor. I know.

THE COURT: Well, in this case it sure should have been given.

MR. DAVIS: We had it prepared.

MR. CROW: They didn't offer it.

THE COURT: I didn't read it. Alright, I think it's probably appropriate at this time for me to read it.

MR. CROW: I would object since it wasn't in the original, your Honor---