out.

İ

I---

MR. FOGLEMAN: Well, even if you did -- even if that doesn't apply, you've always got the right to argue punishment.

MR. DAVIS: Yeah, and we didn't have the right to until---

MR. STIDHAM: Your Honor, we would submit that under the existing law at the time these acts occurred---

THE COURT: Well, but I think since it was bifurcated I think you're both entitled to a five or ten minute argument as to what the appropriate punishment is.

MR. STIDHAM: But they didn't come back with a verdict of capital murder.

THE COURT: I know, but you neither -- neither of you have really argued the law as to punishment in any of these things.

MR. CROW: We'll look at the law, your Honor.

(RECESS.)

THE COURT: Alright, Court will be in session and, ladies and gentlemen, again, I seem to have to be thanking you for your patience. That thirty minutes I indicated to you turned out to be a little better than an hour -- more than that -- and I do appreciate your indulgence on our delays.

I might explain to you that the delay was absolutely

necessary in order to allow the attorneys an opportunity to discuss whether or not they needed to produce additional testimony or evidence which they would have been entitled to. However, I'm informed -- am I correct, gentlemen -- that each of you just chose to do a brief additional argument and proceed. Is that correct?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, your Honor.

MR. STIDHAM: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you've consulted with the family members, with your clients, and with anybody that might be appropriate at this time. Have each of you done that?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, your Honor.

MR. STIDHAM: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you're satisfied that arguments are all that's necessary?

MR. STIDHAM: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Alright, ladies and gentlemen, you have found Jessie Lloyd Misskelley, Junior guilty of first degree murder in the death of Michael Moore and found him guilty in the -- of second degree murder in the deaths of Stevie Branch and Chris Byers.

It's now necessary that you listen to additional arguments of the attorneys, which I hope are confined to about fifteen minutes a side, and then again retire and fix and impose -- fix the sentences for each of these

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

offenses.

And you are instructed that the range of punishment for first degree murder is a term of not less than ten years nor more than forty years or life in the Arkansas Department of Correction. You are further instructed that the range of punishment for second degree murder is a term of not less than five years nor more than twenty years in the Arkansas Department of Correction, or a fine not exceeding Fifteen Thousand Dollars, or both a term of not less than five nor more than twenty years in the Arkansas Department of Correction and a fine not exceeding Fifteen Thousand Dollars.

After the lawyers do their additional arguments, then I will read the verdict forms that you'll be asked to consider and complete.

Alright, gentlemen, you may -- how much time do you all want -- is fifteen minutes cutting you too close?

MR. DAVIS: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you want to split -- are you going to split your arguments?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Ladies and gentlemen, and I'm saying MR. DAVIS: this with all sincerity, I know what a difficult decision you all had to make. This case is very emotionally

draining for anybody who is a party to it. Whether it's the victims' family, whether it's a juror, whether it's the Court Reporter — anybody who has to view the photographs, who has to listen to this testimony, it is a gut wrenching experience. And you all the time hear people criticize the job that jurors do, but I want to tell you that we thank you for being willing and able to go through this process and to do your civic duty and serving on a jury, listening to the evidence, and rendering a decision as the law requires.

Now, you have to determine what is the appropriate punishment within the range allowed by the law that fits the crime that you found that this defendant committed. In the first count you will have the option in first degree murder for the death of Michael Moore — the ranges — you'll will be given a verdict form that shows ten to forty years or life. And you can fill that form in with anything from ten to forty years or you can put life in prison as the appropriate punishment for what this defendant did.

when you make that determination and you determine what is appropriate for the crime he's committed, I'll just ask that you think back again and I know it's hard, but again, fitting the punishment to the crime. You have found that he purposely took the life -- he was an

That -- and remember the evidence was that the beatings had already started. Damien had already started to hit the one boy when Michael Moore took off. And but for the action of that defendant who sits right there, Michael Moore would be alive today. And he brought him back to his ultimate death. The death of an eight-year-old boy.

And I put to you that appropriate punishment for someone who does that -- to take the life of an innocent eight-year-old -- under the circumstances that we know by the physical evidence what happened to him -- that that rates a life sentence. I can't think of a case that could be more appropriate to get the maximum sentence in the circumstances surrounding this.

The other two charges which are Class B felonies that you found the defendant guilty of second degree murder carried five to twenty year sentences. Anywhere within that range — and there's also an option of a fine. Frankly, in this case with the taking of two lives I don't think a fine is even an appropriate consideration but that's up to you.

In regard to those two boys just remember in finding this defendant guilty you had to determine that he went out there with the intent to inflict serious physical injury on those two boys. That he acted -- he or an

accomplice acted and think back as to what injuries those individuals suffered. And I know it's something that as soon as you leave this courtroom you'll want to cut out of your memory as much as possible for the rest of your life, and I do, too. But when determining what is appropriate punishment within that range of five to twenty years, think for a little bit about the agony and the torture that those boys went through that afternoon, and that man right there, ladies and gentlemen, he's a man. He's eighteen years old. You found that he did it and somebody that put those three little boys through what he was involved in, twenty years is something that is clearly appropriate.

I normally don't get up here and say, "If the range

I normally don't get up here and say, "If the range is this, I think you should do that." Use your discretion, but in this case I sincerely believe that what happened to those three little boys merits the maximum punishment you can give out on all three offenses. And I ask you, please, to look back over that evidence, think hard, think long, and do what's right and give a punishment that fits this crime — and fits the three crimes, and give this defendant the maximum sentences on all three counts.

In asking that, I do that because of not only what happened to the children, but what happened to their

23

24

25

And they've been here and you've had a chance families. to look at that and I don't think it's inappropriate to say when you determine punishment, think about what the effect has been, not only in the taking those three lives, but what impact it's had on the mothers, the fathers, and the other family members of those three When you think about those things and you look at the evidence, I think it will be a clear and easy decision that the maximum punishment -- although it's something that's a heavy responsibility to deal out particularly to somebody who's eighteen years old -- I think it's clearly appropriate and it's something that you can feel that you've done something right in regard to having the courage to give out that type of punishment for this type of crime, but if people can see what the punishment is in this case and say that that fits what this defendant did. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Are you all going to split or are you going to do it all?

MR. CROW: Do it all, your Honor.

THE COURT: Alright.

MR. CROW: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, your Honor, Mr. Fogleman, Mr. Davis. I want to thank you all, too, for your patience, and your willingness to serve on the jury. It's been a hard three weeks for all