```
. 1
           That's correct.
 2
           Besides the mouth, what were you talking about?
      O
 3
           The mouth or the rectum.
 4
                              CROSS EXAMINATION
 5
      BY MR. STIDHAM:
           On State's Exhibit 45 and 48, you found no blood and no
 6
 7
      sperm. Is that correct?
 8
      A
           That's correct.
           This is the book you found at Damien's?
 9
      Q
10
      Α
           That's correct.
11
      Q
           Do you know where it came from?
12
      A
           (EXAMINING) Inscription here is, "Crittenden County
13
      Library."
14
                              (WITNESS EXCUSED)
15
                            MICHAEL DEGUGLIELMO
      having been first duly sworn to speak the truth, the whole truth
16
      and nothing but the truth, then testified as follows:
17
18
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
      BY MR. FOGLEMAN:
20
           Will you please state your name and spell your last name?
21
      Α
           Michael DeGuglielmo, D-E-G-U-G-L-I-E-L-M-O.
22
          What is your occupation?
      D.
           I'm employed as the director of forensic analysis for
23
24
      Genetic Design.
25
           What is Genetic Design?
```

11/117

```
1
           Genetic Design is a genetic testing company that
      specializes in human identification. We test in three
 2
      particular areas. My forensic lab does basically criminal case
 3
      work such as this. A paternity lab which handles cases of
      disputed parentage, both private and for governmental agencies,
 5
      and a bone marrow tissue typing lab for bone marrow transplants.
 6
           What education, training, experience and background do you
 7
 8
      have to quality you in this field?
           Bachelor of Science degree in biology, post baccalaureate
 9
10
      studies in biology and chemistry, Master of Science degree in
      microbiology and genetics, continuing education in forensic DNA
11
12
      analysis, radioisotope techniques, and statistical
      interpretations, as well as continuing education through
13
      numerous forensic agencies and organizations such as the
14
      American Academy of Forensic Sciences, the FBI lab in Quantico,
1.5
      and some of the regional forensic associations as well.
16
17
                     MR. FOGLEMAN: We would submit the witness as an
18
                expert.
19
                     MR. STIDHAM:
                                   No objection.
20
                     THE COURT: You may proceed.
21
     BY MR. FOGLEMAN:
22
           In the course of your work with Genetic Design, did your
     lab receive certain items from the Arkansas Crime Lab?
23
24
     Α
          Yes, sir, we did.
          Were these in relation to the case involving James Michael
25
```

```
1
      Moore, Steve Branch and Chris Byers?
 2
      Α
           Yes. sir.
           And specifically did you receive certain possible tissue
 3
 4
      recovered from ligatures?
 5
      A
           Yes, sir.
 6
           And how were those items labeled upon receipt?
          There were two items listed as Q4, "possible tissue
 7
      recovered from ligature from Chris Byers," and Q39, "possible
 8
      tissue recovered from ligature from James Michael Moore."
 9
10
      Q
           Did you also receive some cuttings from some pants?
11
      A
          Yes, sir.
12
           How were those items labeled?
13
           They were labeled as Q6 "parentheses 2S parentheses,
      cuttings from blue jeans guestioned stain," and item Q10,
14
      "parentheses 28 parentheses questioned stain" and item Q10,
15
      parentheses 1S parentheses cuttings from blue jeans questioned
1.6
      stain."
17
18
           In regard to the possible tissue recovered from the
      ligatures, what tests were run on these items?
L9
20
           Our lab does DNA analysis in all the cases that we handle.
      There are essentially two types of DNA testing, traditional DNA
21
      testing which has been done for quite some time is referred to
22
23
      as a restriction fragment length polymorphism, or RFLP.
24
           The second type of DNA testing is based upon a technique
      called plenorase chain reaction, or PCR. The initial type of
25
```

المرين الم

```
T
      testing requires a substantive quantity of DNA.
 2
           What does that mean?
           Well, I can tell you typically we're targeting
 3
 4
      approximately four hundred nanograms of DNA.
      b
           What does that mean?
           A nanogram is a metric measurement used in a lot of
7
      scientific discussion. The best way to explain it to you if you
     take a dime, a dime weighs approximately one gram and a nanogram
8
     is one billionth of a gram. So that's the amount of DNA that we
9
10
     would be looking for in the actual processing.
11
           While four hundred nanograms is not a lot, really, it is a
     substantive amount that from some items of evidence in -- well,
12
     in cases where there's evidence other than just specimens taken
13
     from parties for control, it is difficult to obtain that much
14
15
     DNA.
16
          With PCR based testing, the sensitivity is many times below
17
     one nanogram and so we're able to do testing where we couldn't
18
     do it with the RFLP based testing.
19
           For this particular case, the analysis we did was PCR based
     testing because of the amount of material we had to work with.
20
21
           Is that because it is more sensitive?
     Q
22
          Yes, sir.
23
          What were the results on this Q4 and Q39, the possible
24
     tissue from ligatures?
```

In those particular items we were not able to detect any

25

DNA from the isolation. When we initially begin a test, the first thing that we do is to go through whatever the material is -- if it is tissue or blood -- and to remove the DNA from it so we can work with it.

LO

1.2

Initially we go through and we quantitate that to determine how much DNA is present if it's there. We were not able to recover and detect any DNA from those two items, and subsequently the testing yielded no results as well.

What are the reasons for the inability to get DNA from these possible tissue specimens?

A It can be one of several things. First of all, tissue specimens even more so than bloodstains or seminal stains tend to degrade, in other words, decompose and break down. The reason for that -- ah, fluids that make stains dry and when they dry, they are fairly well preserved and they can last for a longer period of time. But tissue or any biological material that is not preserved in some way will break down.

Tissue specimens that you're going to analyze generally are best if they are frozen because that prevents them from decomposing. When that decomposition occurs, the DNA breaks apart and becomes in very small pieces so it is very difficult if not impossible to test it.

The other possibility is these were very small samples, and there may have been too little there to have recovered from for the testing anyway.

1 D So despite your best efforts you were unable to get any DNA

2 from those items.

A That's right.

In regard to the cuttings from the pants in Q6 and Q10 what type of analysis was made and what were the results?

A All of the items that were submitted in this particular case were analyzed using the same particular test. It's a PCR based test called HLA DQ Alpha. The HLA stands for human leucocyte antigen. It's a gene that is present in our bodies in what is called a histocompatibility complex. It basically recognizes self. It is what is responsible for tissue rejection in transplants and for tissue typing matches. The particular marker we are looking at is the D gene and a subset of that called DQ Alpha.

In this particular case we did isolate a small amount of DNA from the two questioned cuttings from the blue jeans. In the initial information we were given from the Crime Lab there was a possibility that these could be either mixed stains or potential seminal stains.

With any evidence involving a possible sexual assault, we use what's referred to as a differential extraction. And the purpose there is to separate sperm cells from any other material that might be there so we could match them to the appropriate donors if there were two individuals comprising a mixed stain.

We refer to those as the epithelial, or nonsperm portion,

```
1
     and the sperm, or male portion, of the sample that we have.
                                                                   The
     initial step, the quantitation in this case, showed a very small
 2
     amount of DNA basically right at a marginal level of detection
3
     for the two sperm fractions, that being items Q6 and Q10, and we
4
     detected no DNA present from the nonsperm or epithelial
5
 6
     portions.
7
          The physical HLA DQ Alpha analysis itself, though, there
     was not enough material for us to get a result or the result did
8
     not -- the testing did not appropriately amplify, possibly
9
LO
     because of an inhibitor. Unfortunately, blue jeans many times
     do not provide the best substrate. There are numerous
Ll
```

Prom all of that, what can we conclude about the source of the DNA or probable source of the DNA in the two cuttings from these pants?

references to this in literature. And it's most likely because

of some of the sizings or dyes that are used in producing them.

There's no hard and fast rule, but just some particular pairs of

blue jeans will not allow us to get an amplification so that we

L2

13

14

15

16

L7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can obtain results from it.

What I know from those two particular items, is that we did obtain a small amount of DNA, basically a threshold amount for our testing. The testing that we use is specific for human or higher primate. By that I mean there is some cross reactivity between higher primates as far as the DNA sequences. Human beings, gorillas, chimpanzees and great apes will have some

similarity in the actual DNA sequence. Because of that, they
are not just human specific, but we know that the DNA that we
detected is from the source of a higher primate.

The other thing is that the small amounts of DNA we detected were present in the male or sperm portions of the extraction which would be indicative of the DNA having come from a sperm origin.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STIDHAM:

4

5

6

7

8

9

LO

1.1

12

L3

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Those are a lot of big words, but what I gathered was that you're talking about possibilities. You cannot say for certain that there were sperm stains on these clothes, can you?

A No, sir. As we discussed earlier, in forensic science the only way that people will definitively say, to my knowledge, that there are sperm there is if they visually observe them under a microscope. And with a very limited specimen, most of the crime labs and our lab as well generally will not consume material in order to do that.

We know that the extractions will separate male and female components, and we also know that the material we're looking at has to be human specific or higher primate specific to obtain a result.

Generally speaking, that is the way the testing is handled.

- Q Did you see any sperm?
- A No, sir. We did not do a microscopic. We did not want to

consume any of the material.

2

MR. FOGLEMAN: Your Honor, that is all the witnesses we have available today. We tried at noon to contact some witnesses to get them here but were unable to do so. So we would request a recess until Monday.

(WITNESS EXCUSED)

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FOGLEMAN: Your Honor, we have agreed to stipulate that the sunset on May 5th was at 7:49 P.M.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, a stipulation is an agreed fact between the parties that you may consider in evidence.

We're going to take our weekend adjournment at this time. So with the usual admonition not to discuss the case -- and of course this will be a weekend recess -- we'll be recessing until Monday morning at 9:30.

You are again reminded that there will be media coverage, and you are not to view, listen to or read that coverage or let anyone discuss it with you. In fact it is not likely you will make any independent investigation on your own this far from Crittenden County, but you're not to do that as well.

With those reminders and the usual admonition of

8

7

1

3

5

1.2

LO

L3

14

1.6

L7

18

19

50

21

23

24

25

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLAY COUNTY, ARKANSAS WESTERN DISTRICT CRIMINAL DIVISION

STATE OF ARKANSAS

PLAINTIFF

VS.

NO. CR-93-47

JESSIE LLOYD MISSKELLEY, JR.

DEFENDANT

PRETRIAL AND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS CORNING, ARKANSAS

VOLUME 6

APPEARANCES

FOR THE STATE:

JOHN FOGLEMAN, ESQ. DEP. PROS. ATTORNEY P. O. BOX 1666

WEST MEMPHIS, AR 72303-1666

BRENT DAVIS, ESQ. PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

P. O. BOX 491

JONESBORO, AR 72403-0491

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

DANIEL T. STIDHAM, ESQ. GREGORY L. CROW, ESQ.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW P. O. BOX 856

PARAGOULD, AR 72451-0856

BEFORE THE HONORABLE:

DAVID BURNETT, CIRCUIT JUDGE

BARBARA J. FISHER
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
P. O. BOX 521
PARAGOULD, AR 72451-0521
(501)236-8034

1 the Court not to discuss the case among yourselves or , j with anyone, you may stand in recess until Monday morning at 9:30. 4 (ADJOURNMENT) 5 CORNING, ARKANSAS, JANUARY 31, 1994, AT 9:30 A.M. JERRY DRIVER 1 having been tirst duly sworn to speak the truth, the whole truth 8 and nothing but the truth, then testified as follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION LO BY MR. FOGLEMAN: L.L Will you please state your name and occupation? 12 Α Jerry Driver. I'm the chief juvenile officer of Crittenden 1.3 County. 14 Are you acquainted with the defendant? 15 Α Yes, I am. 1.6 Are you also acquainted with Damien Echols? 17 Α Yes, sir. 18 And Jason Baldwin': A Yes, sir. 1.9 20 It you recall, when was the first time that you saw these 11 three people together? Around November 15th of '92, I believe. 22 A 13 Where was this? 24 Α This was at Lakeshore Trailer Park. 25 What were you doing there?